

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,357	678,357 10/06/2003		Kazutaka Yamamoto	R2180.0059/P059-E	1792
24998	7590	05/24/2005		EXAMINER	
		PIRO MORIN & O	HINDI, N	HINDI, NABIL Z	
2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
J				2655	
				DATE MAILED: 05/24/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comme	10/678,357	YAMAMOTO, KAZUTAKA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NABIL Z. HINDI	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	ay 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 40-49 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-49</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,	, (-) (-)				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 						
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2655

In response to applicant's amendment dated May 06, 2005. The following action is

taken:

The claims are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the previous office action

mailed July 22, 2004 repeated herein for applicant's convenience.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Claims 40-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roth

et al (5463607).

The reference shows an optical disk recording apparatus comprising: an input data Va,

transferred from an input memory means 6 to an encoder 50a, transferring the encoded

data from the encoder 50a to a recording circuit 50b, 7 and 3. Memory level monitoring

means to determine the storage capacity Vg1, stopping the data recording on the disk if

the memory level is below a predetermined level see fig 2 steps S6-S8 wherein the data

from the memory is maintained see column 6 lines 62-68. The reference in figs 2 and 4

discloses the use of a Vmin and a Vmax data stored in the memory means in order to

control the data writing or pausing on the disk.

With respect to the limitation of claim 41. The claim read on resuming the recording

operation based on the memory filing level see fig 2 steps S1-S4.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,357

Art Unit: 2655

With respect to the limitation of claim 42. The reference discloses the use of a first level

and a second levels Vmin and Vmax.

With respect to the limitations of claims 43 and 44. The reference discloses the use of

an CIRC encoder 50a having an input data 5.

With respect to the limitations of claims 45-48. The reference discloses the use of a

recording device to record digital data on an optical CD having a digital input data 5.

Applicant's arguments filed May 06, 2005 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are centered around the prior art not showing the

limitation "from an input buffer" and "from said encoder". However as shown in fig 6, the

reference does disclose the use of an input buffer 6transferring the data to an encoder

50a. the data is then transferred to a recording circuit indicated by elements 50b, 7 and 3

meeting the claimed invention. The limitation "maintaining the encoded data" in the

memory. The limitation "maintaining" merely read on having a predetermined memory

fill level during the data recording/pausing operation. The reference does disclose in

column 11 lines 1-18 the use of an encoder CIRC prior to the buffer memories which in

turn would store the encoded data contrary to applicant's arguments.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. 6181653.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z. HINDI

at telephone number (571) 272-7618.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

2635

Page 3